

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) WBM-35650									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on _____ Signature _____ Typed or printed name _____	Application Number 10/642,708		Filed 08/18/2003								
	First Named Inventor Jason L. Werning										
	Art Unit 3676	Examiner K.R.Gluchowski									
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p> <p>I am the _____ /Stephen S. Wentsler/</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</td><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">_____ Signature</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">Stephen S. Wentsler _____ Typed or printed name</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 46403 Registration number _____</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">216/579-1700 _____ Telephone number</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">October 15, 2007 _____ Date</td></tr></table> <p>NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.</p>				<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	_____ Signature	<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Stephen S. Wentsler _____ Typed or printed name	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 46403 Registration number _____	216/579-1700 _____ Telephone number	<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	October 15, 2007 _____ Date
<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	_____ Signature										
<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Stephen S. Wentsler _____ Typed or printed name										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 46403 Registration number _____	216/579-1700 _____ Telephone number										
<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	October 15, 2007 _____ Date										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *Total of <u>1</u> forms are submitted.											

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

Response Under 37 CFR 1.116
Expedited Response
Exam Group 3676

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/642,708
Applicant : Jason Werning
Filed : August 18, 2003
Title : SECURE SEAL SYSTEM (S³) FOR CENTRAL VACUUM

Confirm No. : 4369
TC/A.U. : 3676
Examiner : Kristina R. Gluchowski

Docket No. : WBM-35650

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Sir:

At least for the reasons stated herein, applicant requests withdrawal of the final Office action dated July 13, 2007 and allowance of the application. The three month period for reply expires October 15, 2007. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-13 and 19-29 stand pending in this application.

Claim 29 – grounds of rejection and statutory basis of rejection not stated

In the Detailed Action, the examiner fails to fully and clearly state the grounds or statutory basis for rejecting claim 29. See MPEP 707.07(d). Moreover, it appears claim 29 is allowable over the prior art. For example, the prior art fails to teach or suggest a central vacuum cleaner with a twist-lock latch including a first shelf portion to provide a resting area for the handle portion of the debris receptacle when the debris receptacle is in the lock position and a second shelf portion providing a clearance area for the bead roll of the vertical gasket. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the finality of the Office action and allowance of claim 29.

Applicant Traverses rejection of claims 1-3, 6, 8, 19-21, 23 and 24

Applicant respectfully traverses the examiner's rejection of claims 1-3, 8, 19-21, 23 and 24 in view of 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,813,085 to

Fritz et al. ("Fritz"). Applicant further respectfully traverses the examiner's rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritz.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 1-3, 6, 8, 23 and 24

With respect to claim 1, Fritz discloses a flip clip 48 that cannot be considered a "twist-lock latch" as required by claim 1. The flip clip 48 of Fritz fails to include structure that can be considered a twist-lock latch. Moreover, the flip clip of Fritz is not configured to "guide a handle portion of the debris receptacle." Rather, Fritz includes a flip clip that clamps to an area but does not operate to guide a handle portion of a debris receptacle as required by claim 1. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 1 and allowance of claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 23 and 24 as depending directly or indirectly from claim 1.

Applicant further traverses the rejection of claims 2 and 24

Fritz also fails to disclose a twist-lock latch comprising "a contoured ramp configured to guide the handle portion of the debris receptacle to the lock position." Rather, Fritz only discloses a flip clip including a hook portion configured to simply clamp an area. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of claims 2 and 24 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant further traverses the rejection of claim 3

Fritz also fails to disclose a twist-lock latch comprising "a stop detent to fully engage the debris receptacle into the lock position" as required by claim 3. Rather, Fritz only discloses a flip clip with no apparent stop detent. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 3 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claim 19 and 20

With respect to claim 19, Fritz discloses a flip clip 48 that cannot be considered a "twist-lock latch" and is not configured to "locate a handle portion of a debris receptacle" as required by claim 19. Rather, Fritz can only be considered to comprise a flip clip that clamps to an area and is not configured to operate to locate a handle portion of a debris receptacle in a lock position between the twist-lock latch and the vertical gasket as required by claim 19. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 19 and allowance of claim 20 depending from claim 19.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claim 21

With respect to claim 21, Fritz discloses a flip clip 48 that cannot be considered a "latching means for securing the debris receptacle to the canister" as required by claim 21. The flip clip 48 of Fritz does not have structure that can be considered equivalent to the structure described in the specification that is associated with the latching means set forth in

claim 21. Moreover, Fritz discloses a flip clip 48 that cannot be considered a “twist-lock latch” and is not configured to “guide a handle portion of a debris receptacle” as required by claim 21. Rather, Fritz can only be considered to comprise a flip clip that clamps to an area and is not configured to operate to guide a handle portion of a debris receptacle to a lock position between the twist-lock latch and the vertical gasket as required by claim 21.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 21.

Applicant Traverses rejection of claims 9-13, 22 and 25-28

Applicant respectfully traverses the examiner’s rejection of claims 9-13, 22 and 25-28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritz in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,108,860 to Crouse et al. (“Crouse”).

Applicant traverses the rejection of claim 9-13

With respect to claim 9, neither Fritz nor Crouse, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all of the limitations of claim 9. For example, neither Fritz nor Crouse teach or suggest a “twist-lock latch” as required by claim 9. Rather, Crouse only discloses pivot latches 46 (see FIG. 3) for snapping onto mounting posts 40 for pivotal motion about the mounting posts 40 (col. 3, lines 47-49). The pivot latches 46 are pivoted radially over flanges 60 to radially receive the flanges. The pivot latches 46 of Crouse cannot be considered a “twist-lock latch” and do not have any function that can be considered to operate as a twist-lock latch. Moreover, Crouse fails to teach or suggest an open lateral end portion configured to laterally receive a handle portion of a debris receptacle from a position outside a canister. Rather, Crouse includes a pivoting latch 46 with an open inner side that is pivoted over the flange 60 to radially receive the flange 60. The twist-lock latch of claim 9 allows twisting movement between a receptacle and a canister such that the open lateral end portion laterally receives the handle portion of the debris receptacle. In contrast, Crouse does not involve twisting movement but prepositions a powerhead 6 with respect to a tank 2. Then, while the powerhead 6 and tank 2 remain stationary with respect to one another, the pivot latches 46 are pivoted (not twisted) such that the open inner side (not an end portion) is radially inserted over a flange 60 such that the flange radially (not laterally) enters the open inner side (not an open lateral end portion) of the pivot latch. Accordingly, as neither Fritz nor Crouse, alone or in combination, disclose all of the limitations of claim 9, applicant respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claim 9 and the rejection of claims 10-13 as depending from claim 9.

Applicant further traverses the rejection of claim 10

In addition, contrary to the assertion of the examiner, the pivot latch of Crouse fails to teach or suggest a second shelf portion to provide a clearance area for a gasket as required by claim 10. Rather, Crouse only discloses a pivot latch 46 with structure configured to engage the flange 60. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 10 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant further traverses the rejection of claim 11

In addition, contrary to the assertion of the examiner, the pivot latch of Crouse fails to teach or suggest a contoured ramp configured to guide the handle portion of the debris receptacle into place. Rather, Crouse only discloses a pivot latch 46 with structure configured to radially engage a stationary flange 60. Indeed, the flange 60 remains stationary as the pivot latch 46 pivots. Accordingly, not only does the pivot latch 46 fail to include a contoured ramp, the pivot latch 46 is not configured to guide the flange 60 since the flange remains stationary as the pivot latch 46 pivots. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 11 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant further traverses the rejection of claim 13

In addition, contrary to the assertion of the examiner, the pivot latch of Crouse includes pivoting latches that pivot relative to mounting posts. Accordingly, the pivoting latches of Crouse include moving parts and teach away from any mechanism including no moving parts. In contrast, claim 13 requires a twist-lock latch that has no moving parts. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 13 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claim 22

With respect to claim 22, neither Fritz nor Crouse, alone or in combination, teach or suggest a twist-lock latch with an open lateral end portion configured to laterally receive the handle portion of the debris receptacle. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 22 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claim 25

With respect to claim 25, neither Fritz nor Crouse, alone or in combination, teach or suggest a twist-lock latch including a first shelf portion to provide a resting area for a handle portion of a debris receptacle when the debris receptacle is in the lock position and a second shelf portion providing a clearance area for a bead roll of a vertical gasket. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests allowance of claim 25 at least for this additional reason.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claim 26 and claims 27-29 depending directly or indirectly from claim 26

With respect to claim 26, neither Fritz nor Crouse, alone or in combination, teach or suggest all of the limitations of claim 26. For example, neither Fritz nor Crouse teach or suggest a “twist-lock latch” as discussed above. Moreover, Crouse fails to disclose a contoured ramp configured to guide a handle portion of a debris receptacle to a lock position as suggested by the examiner. Rather, the flange 60 of Crouse remains stationary as the pivot latches 46 pivot over the flanges 60. Thus, the pivot latches of Crouse cannot be considered to have a configuration to “guide a handle portion.” Moreover, Crouse fails to teach or suggest an open lateral end portion configured to laterally receive a handle portion of a debris receptacle. Rather, Crouse includes a pivoting latch 46 with an open inner side that is pivoted over the flange 60 to radially receive the flange 60. The twist-lock latch of claim 26 allows twisting movement between a receptacle and a canister such that the open lateral end portion laterally receives the handle portion of the debris receptacle. In contrast, Crouse does not involve twisting movement but prepositions a powerhead 6 with respect to a tank 2. Then, while the powerhead 6 and tank 2 remain stationary with respect to one another, the pivot latches 46 are pivoted (not twisted) such that the open inner side (not an end portion) is radially inserted over a flange 60 such that the flange radially (not laterally) enters the open inner side (not an open lateral end portion) of the pivot latch. Accordingly, as neither Fritz nor Crouse, alone or in combination, disclose all of the limitations of claim 26, applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claim 26 and the rejection of claims 27-29 as depending from claim 26.

For the reasons set forth above, applicant respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections of the claims and allowance of the application. If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, or if no check is enclosed, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. WBM-35650.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

/Stephen S. Wentsler/

Stephen S. Wentsler, Reg. No. 46,403

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700
Date: October 15, 2007